

MORE TREATMENT OPTIONS WITH SMALLER IMPLANTS

Roxolid® is a unique implant material combining both excellent biocompatibility and high mechanical strength. Roxolid® is a metal alloy composed of ~15% zirconium and ~85% titanium which leads to an increased mechanical resistance compared to pure titanium. A higher mechanical resistance of titanium-zirconium alloys compared to pure titanium has been reported by Kobayashi et al. **1995.** Roxolid[®] Implants have an up to 40 % higher fatigue strength than comparable titanium implants (Bernhard et al. 2009). In addition, it has been shown that titanium-zirconium alloys have a better biocompatibility than titanium (Ikarashi et al. 2005). Thanks to the molecular structure of Roxolid®, the well-established SLA® surface (Institute Straumann AG, Switzerland) can be created on the implant. These unique properties allow the clinician to cover more treatment options with smaller implants.

LESS INVASIVE PROCEDURES AND PRESERVING TISSUE

Today, clinicians often select the widest and longest implant for therapy. This may lead to a loss of soft and hard tissues during surgery. In addition, bone grafting procedures may be required to create sufficient bone volume around the implant. By using smaller-sized implants, biologic structures might be maintained during the surgical procedure and additional guided bone regeneration procedures may become obsolete. In the clinical study by Benic et al. 2013, it was shown that diameter-reduced Roxolid® Implants performed equally successful compared to Ø 4.1 mm titanium implants. The study reported 100% survival and success rates for both implants after

one year. This indicates that \emptyset 3.3 mm Roxolid® Implant can be placed in many situations where a \emptyset 4.1 mm implant would usually be used instead. In a non-interventional study, which was performed in 40 centers in 7 countries, 603 Roxolid® Implants were placed in 357 patients (Freiberger et al. 2012). The study reported survival rates of 98% and success rates of 97% after two years. Clinicians also documented that for 54% of the placed implants a bone augmentation procedure could be avoided by using \emptyset 3.3 mm Roxolid® Implants.

Various published clinical studies have documented the successful use of Roxolid® Implants in numerous clinical indications:

- Edentulous mandibles, 99 % survival rate after one year (Al-Nawas et al. 2012)
- Horizontally resorbed ridges, 100 % survival rate up to 19 months (Chiapasco et al. 2012)
- Atrophied maxilla, 100 % survival rate up to 16 months (Cordaro et al. 2013)
- Partially edentulous patients, 95% survival rate after 2 years (Barter et al. 2012)
- Single-tooth replacement, 100% survival rate after one year (Benic et al. 2013)
- Posterior implant positions, 95% survival rate after one year (Tolentino et al. 2013)

INCREASE PATIENT ACCEPTANCE WITH LESS IN-VASIVE PROCEDURES

Every surgical intervention places a burden on the patient. Such intervention might not only lead to pain, trauma and discomfort during the healing process, but also fear and anxiety. In a survey among 20'000 patients, Prof. Riegl and partners asked patients for possible reasons why they did not take implant therapy into consideration so far.



Out of the about 8000 answers of patients who could be considered relevant for implant treatment, the majority of patients stated that they fear the cost of the treatment (36%), the surgical intervention (13%) and the duration of the therapy (5%). Since less invasive procedures can help reduce trauma, time and cost of dental implant treatment, these procedures might be ideal to increase patient acceptance.

LONG-TERM RELIABILITY SUPPORTED BY STRONG EVIDENCE

The Straumann® SLA® surface is one of the best documented surfaces in dental implantology. The superior osseointegration properties of the SLA® surface compared to machined surfaces were demonstrated first by Buser et al. 1991 and Cochran et al. 1996. These studies have shown that the micro-rough SLA® surface leads to higher a boneto-implant contact and higher removal torque values than machined surfaces (Buser et al. 1998).

Over the last 20 years more than 100 clinical and preclinical SLA® studies have been published in peer-reviewed journals including studies with more than 10 years of observation time. Until today, 10-year data of a total of 763 SLA® Implants placed in 452 patients have been scientifically documented and published in several clinical studies (Fischer & Stenberg 2012, Buser et al. 2012, Roccuzzo et al. 2013). In these long-term studies, survival rates reached 95% to 97% and even in patients with moderate or severe periodontal disease an implant survival rate of 97% was reported (Roccuzzo et al. 2013). To our knowledge, there is no other dental implant surface available on the market today which is supported by such extensive clinical longterm documentation.

In conclusion, it can be stated that the available evidence shows that Roxolid® Implants have a higher tensile strength than pure titanium implants and are highly biocompatible. In addition, the Straumann® SLA® surface is one of the best documented surfaces in dental implantology showing successful implant treatment outcomes over a period of 10 years. The combination of the titanium-zirconium alloy Roxolid® with the SLA® surface ensures a predictable use in many clinical indications with very high success and survival rates.

REFERENCES

Al-Nawas B, Brägger U, Meijer HJ, Naert I, Persson R, Perucchi A, Quirynen M, Raghoebar GM, Reichert TE, Romeo E, Santing HJ, Schimmel M, Storelli S, ten Bruggenkate C, Vandekerckhove B, Wagner W, Wismeijer D, Müller F.: A double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) of Titanium-13Zirconium versus Titanium Grade IV small-diameter bone level implants in edentulous mandibles--results from a 1-year observation period. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2012 Dec;14(6):896-904. Barter S, Stone P, Brägger U.: A pilot study to evaluate the success and survival rate of titanium-zirconium implants in partially edentulous patients: results after 24 months of follow-up. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012 Jul;23(7):873-81. Benic GI, Gallucci GO, Mokti M, Hammerle CHF, Weber H-P, Jung RE. Titanium-zirconium narrow-diameter versus titanium regular-diameter implants for anterior and premolar single crowns: 1-year results of a randomized controlled clinical study. J Clin Periodontol 2013. Bernhard N, Berner S, de Wild M, Wieland M: The binary TiZr Alloy - a newly developed Ti alloy for the use in dental implants, Forum Implantol., 2009, 5, 30 -39. Buser D, Janner SF, Wittneben JG, Brägger U, Ramseier CA, Salvi GE.: 10-year survival and success rates of 511 titanium implants with a sandblasted and acid-etched surface: a retrospective study in 303 partially edentulous patients. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2012 Dec; 14(6):839-51. Buser D, Nydegger T, Hirt HP, Cochran DL, Nolte LP. Removal torque values of titanium implants in the maxilla of miniature pigs. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1998 Sep-Oct;13(5):611-9. Buser D, Schenk RK, Steinemann S, Fiorellini JP, Fox CH, Stich H.: Influence of surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants. A histomorphometric study in miniature pigs. J Biomed Mater Res. 1991 Jul;25(7):889-902. Chiapasco M, Casentini P, Zaniboni M, Corsi E, Anello T. Titanium-zirconium alloy narrow-diameter implants (Straumann Roxolid(®)) for the rehabilitation of horizontally deficient edentulous ridges: rospective study on 18 consecutive patients. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012 Oct;23(10):1136-41. Cochran DL, Nummikoski PV, Higginbottom FL, Hermann JS, Makins SR, Buser D.: Evaluation of an endosseous titanium implant with a sandblasted and acid-et-ched surface in the canine mandible: radiographic results. Clin Oral Implants Res. 1996 Sep;7(3):240-52. Cordaro L, Torsello F, Mirisola di Torresanto V, Baricevic M.: Rehabilitation of an edentulous atrophic maxilla with four unsplinted narrow diameter titanium-zirconium implants supporting an overdenture. Quintessence Int. 2013 Jan;44(1):37-43. Fischer K, Stenberg T.: Prospective 10-year cohort study based on a randomized controlled trial (RCT) on implant-supported full-arch maxillary prostheses. Part 1: sandblasted and acid-etched

implants and mucosal tissue. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2012 Dec;14(6):808-15.

2012 Copenhagen; 305 Posters – Implant Therapy Outcomes, Surgical Aspects.

Improved biocampatibility of Titanium-Zirkonium (Ti-Zr) alloy: Tissue reaction and sensitization ti Ti-Zr alloy compared with pure Ti and Zr in rat implanation study. Materials Transaction, 46, 10, 2260-2267.

Kobayashi E, Matsumoto S, Doi H, Yoneyama T, Hamanaka H. Mechanical properties of the binary titanium-zirconium alloys and their potential for biomedical materials. J. Biomed Mater Res. 1995 Aug;29(8):943-50. Prof. Riegl & Partner GmbH, Institut für Management im Gesundheitsdienst, Provinostrasse 11, 86153 Augsburg. Roccuzzo M, Bonino L, Dalmasso P, Aglietta M.: Long-term results of a three arms prospective cohort study on implants in periodontally compromised patients: 10-year data around sandblasted and acid-etched (SLA) surface. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2013 Jul 19. Tolentino L, Sukekava F, Seabra M, Lima LA, Garcez-Filho J, Araújo MG.: Success and survival rates of narrow diameter implants made of titanium-zirconium alloy in the posterior region of the jaws - results from a 1-year follow-up. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2013 Feb 17. Pictures frontpage: ©Empa



International Headquarters

Institut Straumann AG Peter Merian-Weg 12 CH-4002 Basel, Switzerland Phone +41 (0)61 965 11 11 +41 (0)61 965 11 01 Fax

www.straumann.com